

Communication

Kinetic Solvent Effects on the Reaction of an Aromatic Ketone [],[]* Triplet with Phenol. Rate-Retarding and Rate-Accelerating Effects of Hydrogen-Bond Acceptor Solvents

Raquel E. Galian, Grzegorz Litwinienko, Julia Prez-Prieto, and Keith U. Ingold

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2007**, 129 (30), 9280-9281• DOI: 10.1021/ja071716y • Publication Date (Web): 11 July 2007 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on February 16, 2009



More About This Article

Additional resources and features associated with this article are available within the HTML version:

- Supporting Information
- Links to the 4 articles that cite this article, as of the time of this article download
- Access to high resolution figures
- Links to articles and content related to this article
- Copyright permission to reproduce figures and/or text from this article

View the Full Text HTML





Published on Web 07/11/2007

Kinetic Solvent Effects on the Reaction of an Aromatic Ketone π,π^* Triplet with Phenol. Rate-Retarding and Rate-Accelerating Effects of Hydrogen-Bond **Acceptor Solvents**

Raquel E. Galian,*,† Grzegorz Litwinienko,‡ Julia Pérez-Prieto,† and Keith U. Ingold§

Instituto de Ciencia Molecular, Universidad de Valencia, Polígono de la Coma s/n, 46980 Paterna, Spain, Department of Chemistry, Warsaw University, Pasteura 1, 02-093 Warsaw, Poland, and National Research Council, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1A 0R6

Received March 12, 2007; E-mail: raguel.galian@uv.es

In a seminal study, Scaiano and co-workers¹ reported that the quenching by phenols of two ketone triplets, n,π^* benzophenone and π, π^* 4-methoxypropiophenone, gave phenoxyl and ketyl radicals efficiently (eqs 1 and 2). For phenol, $k_2/10^8$ M⁻¹ s⁻¹

$$\operatorname{ArCOR} \xrightarrow{h\nu} \overset{\mathrm{ISC}}{\longrightarrow} {}^{3}[\operatorname{ArCOR}]^{*}$$
(1)

$$PhOH + {}^{3}[ArCOR]^{*} \rightarrow PhO^{\bullet} + ArC(OH)R$$
(2)

values for these n,π^* and π,π^* ketones were, respectively, 13 and 49 in benzene and 0.8 and 1.1 in wet acetonitrile. The lower rates in wet acetonitrile were attributed to formation of a PhOH ··· solvent hydrogen bond (HB).¹ Carbonyls with lowest π, π^* triplets were known to abstract H-atoms from hydrocarbons much more slowly than carbonyls having similar excitation energies with lowest n,π^* triplets, and Wagner et al.² had postulated that the π,π^* triplets abstracted hydrogen predominantly via their thermally populated, higher energy $n\pi^*$ states. Later, Leigh et al.³ proposed that reactions of phenols with π,π^* carbonyl triplets involved an intermediate HB exciplex that gave phenoxyl and ketyl radicals by Electron Transfer Proton Transfer (ETPT) (eq 3).4

$$PhOH + {}^{3}[ArCOR]^{*} \rightleftharpoons {}^{3}[Ar(R)C=O\cdots HOPh]^{*} \rightarrow {}^{3}[Ar(R)\dot{C}-\bar{O}\cdots HOPh] \rightarrow Ar\dot{C}(OH)R + {}^{\circ}OPh \qquad (3)$$

Kinetics of the bimolecular reactions of triplet ketones with phenols have usually been measured in a single solvent, there being only a few reports of measurements in two^{1,5} and three⁶ solvents. This is unfortunate because a systematic study of kinetic solvent effects (KSEs) for any H-atom abstraction,⁷ eq 4

$$XH + Y^{\bullet} \rightarrow X^{\bullet} + YH \tag{4}$$

can provide important insights into the reaction mechanism(s).8 Observed KSEs can be quantitatively accounted for by assuming that *only* the (often small) equilibrium fraction (eq 5)

$$XH + S \stackrel{\Lambda_{XH/S}}{\longleftrightarrow} XH \cdots S$$
 (5)

of XH molecules that are not making a HB to a HB acceptor (HBA) solvent molecule, S, can react with Y[•] (eq 4), with a rate constant $k^{0}_{\rm XH/Y}$ that is equal to the experimental rate constant in a non-HBA solvent, such as with an alkane. The experimental rate constant for H-abstraction⁷ in S, $k^{S}_{XH/Y}$, is given by eq I,^{8,9}

$$k^{\rm S}_{\rm XH/Y\bullet} = k^{\rm 0}_{\rm XH/Y\bullet} / (1 + K^{\rm S}_{\rm XH/S}[{\rm S}])$$
(I)

which also indicates that the ratio of experimental rate constants in any pair of solvents will be independent of the reactivity of Y^{.8} This independence has been verified many times.^{8,9} Such KSEs can be *quantitatively* described by eq II,^{8,9} where α_2^{H} and β_2^{H} are the Abraham et al.'s^{10,11} thermodynamically based constants representing, respectively, the relative HB donor (HBD) ability of solute XH in CCl₄ [range = 0.00 (alkanes) to ~ 1.0 (strong organic acids)]¹⁰ and the relative HB acceptor (HBA) ability of solute S in CCl_4 [range = 0.00 (alkanes) to 1.00 (hexamethylphosphortriamide)].11

$$\log(k_{\rm XH/Y}^{\rm S} \bullet/{\rm M}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1}) = \log(k_{\rm XH/Y}^{\rm 0}/{\rm M}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1}) - 8.3 \,\alpha_2^{\rm H} \,\beta_2^{\rm H} \quad ({\rm II})$$

Equation II correlates KSEs for H-abstractions7 by a variety of Y• radicals from hydrocarbons, aniline, tert-butyl hydroperoxide, and numerous phenols^{8,9} (and other substrates).¹² However, in ionizing solvents (e.g., methanol), ionizable substrates (e.g., phenol) react with electron-deficient radicals more rapidly than eq II would predict.⁸ This is due to fast electron transfer to Y[•] from the (generally low) concentration of X⁻ anion present in equilibrium with XH (eq 6). This Sequential Proton Loss Electron Transfer

$$XH + Y^{\bullet} \rightleftharpoons H^{+} + X^{-} + Y^{\bullet} \rightarrow H^{+} + X^{\bullet} + Y^{-}$$
(6)

(SPLET)^{8,13} occurs in parallel with the "normal" H-abstraction⁷ (eq 4). SPLET can usually be completely suppressed by the addition of low concentrations of acetic acid.8,13

The quenching of the π,π^* triplet ketone, 2-benzoylthiophene (³BT*), by a variety of substrates has been previously studied by laser flash photolysis (LFP).5a,6a It was concluded that the phenol + ³**BT*** reaction involved a HB exciplex and gave the phenoxyl (PhO•) and BT ketyl (BTH•) radicals by a (concerted) ETPT mechanism with a quantum yield close to unity.6a Herein, we address the question: Are the KSEs for this PhOH + ${}^{3}BT*$ HB exciplex reaction correctly described by eq II?

A pulsed Nd:YAG laser (λ_{exc} = 355 nm, 10 ns pulse, energy 15-17 mJ) was employed with [BT] chosen to give an absorbance of 0.35 ([BT] = 1.9-2.5 mM). The solvents (Table 1) were of the highest purity available and were used as received. LFP of deaerated solutions of BT gives the 3BT* absorption (maxima at 350 and 600 nm). The ³BT* decay was accelerated by the addition of PhOH (in a dose-dependent manner) with the appearance of absorbancies due to the ketyl (BTH•, $\lambda_{max} = 350$ and 580 nm) and PhO• ($\lambda_{max} =$ 380 and 410 nm) radicals, both being formed with a high efficiency (Supporting Information). A plot of the logarithms of the rate constants for ³BT* quenching by phenol, k_q (Table 1), in the solvents against the solvents' β_2^{H} values shows an excellent linear correlation provided the point for solvent 2 is ignored (as it should be¹⁴ Figure 1, solid line). The slope of this line is -3.9, which is significantly lower than the slope calculated from eq II and phenol's $\alpha_2^{\rm H}$ value of 0.59⁹ [i.e., $-8.3 \times 0.59 = -4.9$ (Figure 1, dashed

[†] Universidad de Valencia.

[‡] Warsaw University. [§] National Research Council.

Table 1. Rate Constants for Quenching of ${}^{3}\mathbf{BT}^{*}$ by Phenol in Various Solvents with $\beta_{2}{}^{H}$ Values for the Solvents

	1 =		
no.	solvent	${\beta_2}^{{\sf H}\;{\sf a}}$	<i>k</i> _q /10 ⁸ M ^{−1} s ^{−1}
1	n-C8H18	0.00	105 ± 5
2	CH_2Cl_2	0.05	23^{b}
3	PhH	0.14	28 ± 3
4	PhCH ₃	0.14	35 ± 2
5	PhOCH ₃	0.26	11.3 ± 0.5
6	CH ₃ CN	0.44	1.4^{b}
7	$CH_3C(O)OC_2H_5$	0.45	2.3 ± 0.2
8	1,4-dioxane	0.47^{c}	1.9 ± 0.1
9	tetrahydrofuran	0.51	0.62 ± 0.05
10	$(C_2H_5O)_3PO$	0.77	0.13 ± 0.04

^{*a*} From ref 11. ^{*b*} Value of k_q from ref 6a. ^{*c*} From ref 13b.



Figure 1. Logarithm of k_q for the reaction of ${}^3\mathbf{BT}^*$ with phenol in various solvents (numbered as in Table 1) versus the solvents' β_2^{H} values. Solvent **2** was not included in constructing the solid correlation line¹⁴ (slope -3.9). The dashed line has the predicted (eq II) slope of -4.9; see text.

line)]. That is, the magnitudes of the HBA solvents' suppression of the rate are less than those for all free radical + phenol H-atom abstraction⁷ reactions examined to date. This would be the case if the ³**BT*** + PhOH reaction had a significant SPLET component.^{8,13} However, this appears improbable for two reasons: (i) even for reactions where a SPLET mechanism is strongly favored, it does not occur in dioxane (8);^{13b} and (ii) the addition of 10 mM acetic acid to THF and triethyl phosphate produced a very small increase in k_q (Supporting Information) rather than the decrease expected if the SPLET mechanism occurred.¹⁵

We propose that the slope of the solid line in Figure 1 (-3.9) is lower than predicted (-4.9) because, in HBA solvents, the ³BT* + PhOH reaction occurs by two mechanisms, A and B. A involves Leigh's³ exciplex process with HB formation between ³BT* and only those PhOH molecules that are not H-bonded to a solvent molecule and for which a plot of log k_A versus β_2^{H} would fit eq II (slope -4.9). The contribution of mechanism **B** increases as the HBA activity of the solvent increases. It must involve PhOH molecules that are H-bonded to solvent molecules and does not occur in the non-HBA solvent, octane. This mechanism could involve either all, or some subset, of PhOH ... S species. We suggest that **B** occurs by electron transfer to ${}^{3}BT^{*}$ from (reactive) PhOH····S followed by, or concerted with, proton transfer from the resulting highly acidic phenol radical cation, (PhOH)++, to the S to which it is already H-bonded. The stronger this H-bond, the more facile the **B** mechanism will be. The overall KSE is described by eq III. We predict that KSEs for quenching of ³BT* by other phenols will also be described by this equation.

$$\log(k_{\rm A}^{\rm S} + k_{\rm B}^{\rm S}) = \log k_{\rm A}^{\rm 0} - 8.3 \times \{1 - (1 - 3.9/4.9)\} \alpha_2^{\rm H} \beta_2^{\rm H}$$
$$= \log k_{\rm A}^{\rm 0} - 6.6 \ \alpha_2^{\rm H} \beta_2^{\rm H} = \log k_{\rm q}^{\rm S} \qquad (III)$$

In our review of KSEs on H-atom abstractions from phenols,⁸ the (formally trimolecular) ETPT mechanism, B, in which the electron and proton go to *different acceptors*, was described as an

unidentified fourth possible mechanism for intermolecular Habstractions from phenols by strongly oxidizing radicals and nonradicals. Unimolecular (i.e., intramolecular) examples of this mechanism are known in chemistry and biology,⁸ but the only intermolecular example of phenol oxidation by this mechanism that we are aware of involved an added solute as the proton acceptor¹⁶ and hence is not, strictly speaking, a KSE.

In conclusion, a fourth mechanism, **B**, for H-atom abstraction from phenol in PhOH···S HB complexes has been identified and quantified. Although the mechanism **B** rate increased with the solvents' HBA strength (β_2^{H}), the overall rate decreased as β_2^{H} increased. Nevertheless, the exciting possibility remains of "inverse" KSEs where the rates of H-atom abstraction from a HBD actually increase as the solvents become stronger HBAs.

Acknowledgment. R.E.G. thanks the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia for the Ramón y Cajal contract. We also thank Dr. Mario Foti for some extremely helpful comments.

Supporting Information Available: Transient absorption spectra and kinetic data for quenching of ${}^{3}\mathbf{BT}^{*}$ by pnenol in different solvents. This material is available free of charge via Internet at http:// pubs.acs.org.

References

- Das, P. K.; Encinas, M. V.; Scaiano, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4154–4162.
- (2) Wagner, P. J.; Kemppainen, A. E.; Schott, H. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1973, 95, 5604–5614.
- (3) (a) Leigh, W. J.; Lathioor, E. C.; St. Pierre, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12339–12348. (b) See also: Lathioor, E. C.; Leigh, W. J. Photochem. Photobiol. 2006, 82, 291–300.
- (4) The photoreduction of benzophenone by aliphatic amines had previously been suggested to proceed via amine triplet ketone charge-transfer complexes (exciplexes) which favored subsequent H-abstraction reactions. See: (a) Inbar, S.; Linschitz, H.; Cohen, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 1048-1054. (b) Inbar, S.; Linschitz, H.; Cohen, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 1419-1421.
- (5) (a) Pérez-Prieto, J.; Galian, R. E.; Morant-Miñana, M. C.; Miranda, M. A. Chem. Commun. 2005, 3180–3182. (b) Biczók, L.; Bérces, T.; Linschitz, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11071–11077.
- (6) (a) Pérez-Prieto, J.; Boscá, F.; Galian, R. E.; Lahoz, A.; Domingo, L. R.; Miranda, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5104–5113. (b) Evans, C.; Scaiano, J. C.; Ingold, K. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 4589–4593.
- (7) H-abstraction is used to refer to two, nonexclusive, mechanisms:⁸ (i) transfer of a proton and one of its bonding electrons (hydrogen atom transfer, HAT); (ii) transfer of a proton with an accompanying electron from a nonbonding orbital (proton-coupled electron transfer, PCET).
- (8) Litwinienko, G.; Ingold, K. U. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 222-230.
- (9) Snelgrove, D. W.; Lusztyk, J.; Banks, J. T.; Mulder, P.; Ingold, K. U. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 469–477.
- (10) Abraham, M. H.; Grellier, P. L.; Prior, D. V.; Duce, P. P.; Morris, J. J.; Taylor, P. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1989, 699–711.
- (11) Abraham, M. H.; Grellier, P. L.; Prior, D. V.; Morris, J. J.; Taylor, P. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1990, 521–529.
- (12) (a) Foti, M. C.; Sortino, S.; Ingold, K. U. Chem.-Eur. J. 2005, 11, 1942– 1948. (b) Astolfi, P.; Greci, L.; Paul, T.; Ingold, K. U. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 2001, 1631–1633.
- (13) (a) Litwinienko, G.; Ingold, K. U. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 3433–3438.
 (b) Litwinienko, G.; Ingold, K. U. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 5888–5896.
 (c) Litwinienko, G.; Ingold, K. U. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 8982–8990.
- (14) The β_2^{H} value for CH₂Cl₂ is too small. In a full paper, we will show that β_2^{H} for CH₂Cl₂ should be revised upwards to 0.15, a value that puts log k_q in **2** essentially on the solid correlation line shown in Figure 1.
- (15) In the only previous example of acetic acid increasing the rate of an ArOH/ Y[•] reaction,^{13c} acceleration was attributed to the excellent anion solvating abilities of the acid enhancing ArOH ionization and, hence, the contribution of SPLET to the measured rate.
- (16) The quenching of triplet C_{60} by phenols is accelerated by added pyridine (Pyr) bases.¹⁷ This was attributed to a trimolecular transition state ET from PhOH•••Pyr to ${}^{3}C_{60}$ concerted with PT to the H-bonded base.¹⁷
- (17) (a) Biczók, L.; Linschitz, H. J. Phys. Chem. 1995, 99, 1843–1845. (b) Gupta, N.; Linschitz, H.; Biczók, L. Fullerene Sci. Technol. 1997, 5, 343–353.

JA071716Y